

Lecture # 12

MHD

Energy Principle

Linearization of MHD equilibria

$$\rho \frac{d^2 \underline{\xi}}{dt^2} = -\underline{\nabla} p_1 + \underline{j}_1 \times \underline{B}_0 + \underline{j}_0 \times \underline{B}_1 \equiv \underline{F}(\underline{\xi})$$

$$\underline{B}_1 = \underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\xi} \times \underline{B}_0) \equiv \underline{Q}$$

$$p_1 = -\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{\nabla} P_0 - \gamma P_0 \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\xi}$$

$$\underline{j}_1 = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{B}_1$$

If we are looking for a normal mode

$$\underline{\xi} = e^{-i\omega t} \underline{\xi}(\underline{r})$$

$$-\omega^2 \rho \underline{\xi} = \underline{F}(\underline{\xi})$$

It turns out that $\underline{F}(\underline{\xi})$ is a self-adjoint linear operator

(as we will exhibit shortly/
take inner product

If we multiply a by an adjoint function $\underline{\eta}$

$$-\omega^2 \rho \underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{\eta} = \underline{\eta} \cdot \underline{F}(\underline{\xi})$$

Integrate over all space
(assume appropriate boundary condition regularity)

$$\omega^2 = \frac{- \int d^3r \tilde{\eta} \cdot F(\rho)}{\int d^3r \rho \tilde{\eta} \cdot \tilde{\rho}} = \frac{- \int d^3r \tilde{\rho} \cdot F \cdot \tilde{\eta}}{\int d^3r \rho \tilde{\eta} \cdot \tilde{\rho}}$$

It will turn out that

$$\int d^3r \tilde{\eta} \cdot F \cdot \tilde{\rho} = \int d^3r \tilde{\rho} \cdot F \cdot \tilde{\eta}$$

where F is real.
the condition for

This is self-adjointness,

which guarantees the an eigenvalue ω^2 , is real.

If $\omega^2 > 0$, modes oscillate in ~~space~~ time, and the mode is stable

If $\omega^2 < 0$, modes grow as

$e^{\gamma t}$, where $\gamma^2 = -\omega^2$. These

are unstable modes, and cause

a great deal of difficulty for confinement.

When we have self-adjointness, there is a very physical interpretation to the terms.

$$\frac{\omega^2}{2} \int \rho \underline{\dot{\psi}} \cdot \underline{\dot{\psi}}^* d^3r \equiv \text{kinetic energy of a mode} \equiv KE$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \underline{\psi}^* \cdot \underline{F} \cdot \underline{\psi} \equiv \text{potential energy of a mode} \equiv PE$$

$$KE + PE \equiv \text{constant} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{as in any} \\ \text{conservative} \\ \text{system} \end{array} \right)$$

However if $\omega^2 > 0$, energy must be inputted into the system to have an excitation

But if $\omega^2 < 0$, no extra energy is needed, but instead system continuously deforms, growing larger in time, until either catastrophe, or limited excursion with non linear stabilization arising.

If one can show that

$$\delta W = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \underline{\rho}^* - \underline{F} \cdot \underline{F} > 0 \quad \text{for}$$

any allowable perturbation (e.g. compatible with the ideal Ohm's law) then the system is stable

Indeed as in QM, by finding the minimum $\rho(\underline{r})$ that produces the potential energy, we obtain the eigenfunction of the system. However, from stability considerations, showing

$\delta W > 0$, is frequently enough (but not all the time)

The first exercise we are confronted with is to show that

\underline{F} is self-adjoint.

In general it is not a pretty calculation as it involves considerable algebra. Here we limit ourselves to a plasma in contact with a conducting wall, so that $\underline{F} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$ ($\hat{n} \equiv$ normal to the wall)

From Freidberg
 "Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics"

$$\mathbf{F}(\xi) = -\nabla P_i + \mathbf{J}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{J}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_0$$

Appendices

$$P_i = -\xi \cdot \nabla p - \gamma \nabla \cdot \xi; \quad \mathbf{B}_1 \equiv \mathbf{Q} = \nabla \times (\xi \times \mathbf{B})_{465}$$

APPENDIX A. SELF-ADJOINTNESS OF THE FORCE OPERATOR F

The goal of Appendix A is to show that the force operator \mathbf{F} is self-adjoint; that is

$$\int \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\xi) d\mathbf{r} = \int \xi \cdot \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) d\mathbf{r} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where ξ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ are two arbitrary vectors satisfying the boundary condition $\mathbf{n} \cdot \xi = \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = 0$ on the surface. This corresponds to the perfectly conducting wall boundary condition.

The integrand can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\xi) = \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla(\xi \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \nabla \cdot \xi) \right] \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with $\mathbf{Q} = \nabla \times (\xi \times \mathbf{B})$. The last term is integrated by parts yielding

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\xi) = \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla(\xi \cdot \nabla p) - \gamma p (\nabla \cdot \xi) (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right] \quad (\text{A.3})$$

One now writes $\xi = \xi_{\perp} + \xi_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}$, $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\perp} + \eta_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}$. The term in the square bracket in Eq. (A.3) has no parallel component; specifically,

$$\text{a.} \quad \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{Q} = -\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla p$$

$$\text{b.} \quad \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla(\xi \cdot \nabla p) = \nabla \cdot [(\xi \cdot \nabla p) \mathbf{B}]$$

↳ using $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla p = 0$

Clearly, the parallel component cancels. Consequently one finds

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\xi) = -\gamma p (\nabla \cdot \xi) (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) + I$$

where I is a function only of the perpendicular components of ξ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$:

$$I(\xi_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\perp}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\perp} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla(\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p) \right] \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla p &= (\nabla \times (\xi_{\perp} \times \mathbf{B})) \cdot \nabla p \\ &= -\nabla \cdot (\nabla p \times (\xi_{\perp} \times \mathbf{B})) \end{aligned}$$

$$I = \eta_{\perp} \cdot [(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{Q} + (\nabla \times \mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla(\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p)]$$

The last term is now integrated by parts and the first two terms rewritten using standard vector identities:

$$I = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \eta_{\perp} \cdot [\mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{Q} - \nabla(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Q})] - (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p) \nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp} \quad (A.6)$$

The three terms inside the square brackets in Eq. (A.6) are expanded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) &= \eta_{\perp} \cdot [(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} - (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}] - B^2(\eta_{\perp} \cdot \kappa) \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \\ \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{Q}) &= \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla(\eta_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) - \mathbf{Q} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \\ &= \nabla \cdot [(\eta_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{B}] - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp} - \xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \\ &= -(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) + (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \\ &\quad - B^2(\eta_{\perp} \cdot \kappa) \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \\ -\eta_{\perp} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) &= -\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \eta_{\perp}] + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp} \\ &= -B^2(\nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp})(\nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp}) - [\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla B^2/2 + B^2(\xi_{\perp} \cdot \kappa)] \nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp} \end{aligned} \quad (A.7)$$

In the second and third terms, full divergence contributions have been dropped since they integrate to zero. Combining terms one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \cdot \mathbf{F}(\xi) &= -\frac{B^2}{\mu_0} (\nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp})(\nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp}) - \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \\ &\quad - \gamma p (\nabla \cdot \xi)(\nabla \cdot \eta) \\ &\quad - \left[\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \left(p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \xi_{\perp} \cdot \kappa \right] \nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp} \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} (\eta_{\perp} \cdot \kappa) \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \\ &\quad + R \end{aligned} \quad (A.8)$$

where

$$\mu_0 R = \eta_{\perp} \cdot [(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} - (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}] + (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \quad (A.9)$$

The middle line is simplified by noting that $\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla(p + B^2/2\mu_0) = (B^2/\mu_0)(\xi_{\perp} \cdot \kappa)$. The quantity R can be rewritten by using the two identities

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \{[\eta_{\perp} \cdot (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B})] \mathbf{B}\} &= (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \cdot (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \\ &\quad + \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \nabla) \mathbf{B} \\ \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) &= \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}) \end{aligned} \quad (A.10)$$

To within a divergence

$$R = -\frac{1}{\mu}$$

The final result

$$\int \eta \cdot \mathbf{F}(\xi) d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \nabla \times (\xi_{\perp} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &= \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp} - \xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \\ &\quad \text{which is clearly} \\ &= \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \\ &= B^2 \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) \\ &= -B^2 \nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} \cdot \eta_{\perp} \cdot \kappa \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 2 B^2 (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \kappa) \nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp}$$

To within a divergence term which integrates to zero, R is given by

$$R = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \eta_{\perp} \cdot (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \nabla) (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}) = -(\eta_{\perp} \xi_{\perp} : \nabla \nabla) \left(p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The final result is

$$\int \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d\mathbf{r} = - \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{\mu_0} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\perp}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\perp}) + \gamma p (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} (\nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp} + 2 \xi_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}) (\nabla \cdot \eta_{\perp} + 2 \eta_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{4B^2}{\mu_0} (\xi_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}) (\eta_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}) + (\eta_{\perp} \xi_{\perp} : \nabla \nabla) \left(p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) \right] \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which is clearly a self-adjoint form by inspection.

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\xi} &= (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\xi}^2 \\ &= \nabla \left(p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} &= \nabla \cdot \left(p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left(p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$