INSTITUTE FOR FUSION STUDIES

DOE/ET-53088-546

IFSR #546

On Neutral Plasma Oscillations

B.A. SHADWICK and P.J. MORRISON Institute for Fusion Studies The University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712

June 1993

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS



AUSTIN

On Neutral Plasma Oscillations

B.A. Shadwick and P.J. MorrisonInstitute for Fusion StudiesThe University of Texas at AustinAustin, Texas 78712

Abstract

We examine the conditions for the existence of spectrally stable neutral modes in a Vlasov-Poisson plasma and show that for stable equilibria of systems that have unbounded spatial domain, the only possible neutral modes are those with phase velocities that correspond to stationary inflection points of the equilibrium distribution function. It is seen that these neutral modes can possess positive or negative free energy.

I Introduction

In this note we examine the possibility of a Vlasov-Poisson plasma supporting neutral modes (i.e. undamped electrostatic plasma oscillations) and demonstrate the existence of a neutral mode possessing negative free energy. A neutral mode is a mode with a phase velocity, v_p , that corresponds to a stationary point of the equilibrium distribution function. In spite of the early work by Case¹ and others,^{2,3} a thorough treatment of neutral oscillations has apparently not been undertaken. Previous analysis of neutral modes has concentrated on modes where the phase velocity of the wave corresponds to a local minima or maxima of the equilibrium distribution. We extend the discussion of neutral modes to the case where v_p corresponds to a stationary point of the distribution that is also an inflection point (which we will refer to as a stationary inflection point). We show that stationary inflection point modes are the only neutral modes that can exist in a stable, spatially unbounded plasma.

Neutral modes evidently suffer no Landau damping, a characteristic which makes neutral modes possessing negative free energy of interest from the standpoint of nonlinear instability. Free energy, $\delta^2 F$, is the energy difference between an equilibrium and a dynamically accessible perturbed state.^{4, 5, 6} Modes that have negative free energy can lead to instability in two ways. Dissipation in a system where the spectrum contains negative energy modes removes energy from these modes resulting in increased amplitude. For example, in finite Larmor radius models dissipation, which has a stabilizing effect on the positive energy mode, leads to instability if the model admits negative energy modes. Negative energy modes can also result in instability through nonlinearities. The prototypical example is the Cherry oscillator.⁷ This system is spectrally stable (i.e. linearly stable in terms of solutions of the form $e^{i\omega t}$) but a resonant nonlinear coupling between the negative and positive energy modes gives rise to solutions that diverge in finite time for arbitrarily small couplings. The nonlinearity

transfers energy from the negative energy mode to the positive energy mode, causing the amplitude of each to increase catastrophically. Nonresonant nonlinear couplings can also lead to instability.⁸

The remainder of this note is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the Nyquist method and use it to show that neutral oscillations at stationary inflection points are the only ones allowed for stable equilibria. In Sec. III we derive the free energy of a neutral mode and show that neutral modes are the only modes where the dielectric energy is the correct linear plasma energy.^{6, 12} We conclude with a discussion in Sec. IV.

II Neutral Modes and Stability

We consider a one-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system with an equilibrium electron distribution, $f^0(v)$, and a fixed, neutralizing ionic background. We assume perturbations of the equilibrium distribution of the form

$$\delta f(x, v, t) = \iint d\omega dk e^{i(kx-wt)} f_k(\omega, v)$$

and a corresponding electric field perturbation

$$\delta E(x,t) = \iint d\omega dk e^{i(kx-wt)} E_k(\omega) .$$

After linearizing, Vlasov's and Poisson's equations become

$$-i(\omega - kv)f_k - \frac{q}{m}E_k f'^0 = 0$$

and

$$ikE_k = -4\pi q \int dv f_k .$$

Combining these equations leads to the plasma dispersion function

$$\epsilon(k,\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv \frac{f_0'}{v - \omega/k}$$

where $\omega_p = 4\pi q^2 n_0/m$ is the electron plasma frequency, $f^0 = n_0 f_0$ and, in general, the contour is chosen to be that used by Landau in solving the initial value problem. This ensures ϵ is an analytic function of ω . The dispersion relation $\epsilon = 0$ provides the connection between k and ω . For neutral modes, $v_p = \omega/k$ is a stationary point of f_0 and thus the integrand is regular; hence the contour can be chosen simply to be the real axis.

For an equilibrium to be spectrally stable, perturbations must not grow unbounded. This means there must be no solutions of $\epsilon(k,\omega)=0$ with $\mathrm{Im}(\omega)>0$, as such solutions would give rise to exponential growth. We fill use the Nyquist method to show that, if the equilibrium distribution is spectrally stable, i.e. $\epsilon(k,\omega)=0$ has no solutions with $\mathrm{Im}(\omega)>0$, neutral modes with phase velocity corresponding to minima or maxima of f_0 cannot exist. In the following, for the sake of clarity, we will suppress k in the argument of ϵ .

Consider⁹

$$\oint_C \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} d\omega .$$

For any reasonable initial distribution, the only poles in the integrand come from the zeros of ϵ , that is, from the roots of the dispersion relation; the residue at these poles is equal to the multiplicity of the corresponding root. For example, suppose that ϵ has an n-th order root at $\omega = \omega_0$. Near ω_0 ,

$$\epsilon \approx \text{Constant} \times (\omega - \omega_0)^n$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} \approx \frac{n}{(\omega - \omega_0)} ,$$

which has a residue of n. Thus

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} d\omega$$

is the sum of the multiplicaties of roots of $\epsilon = 0$ enclosed by the contour C. If we choose C to consist of a semicircle enclosing the upper half of the ω -plane and the real axis, then N

will be the number of unstable roots of the dispersion relation. Further, since

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} = -\frac{{\omega_p}^2}{k^3} \int dv \frac{f_0'}{(v - \omega/k)^2}$$

we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega}\right| \to 0$$
 as $|\omega| \to \infty$

and the contribution to N from circular part of the contour is zero. Therefore

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \left[\frac{\epsilon(\omega = \infty)}{\epsilon(\omega = -\infty)} \right] .$$

Choosing the phase of ϵ so that $\epsilon(\omega = -\infty) = 1$, we then have $\epsilon(\omega = \infty) = e^{i2n\pi}$ and N = n. Thus the number of unstable solutions is given by the phase change in ϵ from $\omega = -\infty$ to $\omega = \infty$. If we think of ϵ as a curve in the ω -plane, parameterized by ω , then the phase change in ϵ is given by the number of times $\epsilon(\omega)$ circles the origin as ω ranges from $-\infty$ to ∞ . For stability N must be zero for all values of k^2 . To encircle the origin, $\epsilon(\omega)$ must cross the real axis at least once to the left of the origin. Thus we are interested in the sign of $\text{Re}(\epsilon)$ whenever $\epsilon(\omega)$ crosses the real axis. For $\text{Im}(\omega) = 0$,

$$\epsilon_r \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\epsilon) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv \frac{f_0'}{v - \omega/k}$$

and

$$\epsilon_i \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\epsilon) = -\pi \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} f_0' \Big|_{v=\omega/k}.$$

It is important to remember that, for this analysis, ω and k^2 must viewed as independent variables.

Let v_{\star} be the phase velocity of the neutral mode. Now ϵ_{r} can be rewritten, after integrating by parts as,

$$\epsilon_r = 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv \frac{f_0(v_*) - f_0(v)}{(v - \omega/k)^2} .$$

For the neutral mode, the dispersion relation $\epsilon = 0$ gives two equations

$$\epsilon_r = 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv \frac{f_0(v_\star) - f_0(v)}{(v - \omega/k)^2}$$
 and $\epsilon_i(v_\star) = 0$

that relate k and ω . The second is trivially satisfied since $f'_0(v_*) = 0$. For the first equation to have a solution,

$$\int dv \frac{f_0(v_*) - f_0(v)}{(v - v_*)^2} < 0 ,$$

since $k^2 > 0$. The central question is: Does the presence of the neutral mode admit unstable solutions of $\epsilon = 0$? This can be answered by observing that there are two generic possibilities: either f'_0 , and consequently ϵ_i , changes sign at v_* (i.e. v_* is a maximum or a minimum of f_0) or it does not. Let us consider the first case. Here ϵ_i changes sign as ω/k passes through v_* , that is, the curve $\epsilon(\omega)$ crosses the real axis as ω/k passes through v_* . Further, there is some value of $k = k_*$ for which $\epsilon(\omega)$ goes through the origin. Since

$$\int dv \frac{f_0(v_*) - f_0(v)}{(v - v_*)^2} < 0$$

for $k < k_{\star}$ the curve will cross the left of the origin and for $k > k_{\star}$ the curve will cross the right. One of these values of k will result in the curve encircling the origin. Thus for a system with an unbounded spatial domain, it will always be possible to find a value of k^2 such that $\epsilon(\omega)$ encircles the origin. For an equilibrium distribution where v_{\star} corresponds to a minimum, this is the Penrose criterion. Hence the existence of this type of neutral mode results in the presence of unstable solutions of the dispersion relation.

The other possibility is that f_0' does not change sign at v_* . This requires that v_* be a zero of f_0' with even multiplicity. That is, for v near v_* ,

$$f_0' \approx \text{Constant} \times (v - v_{\star})^{2m}$$

for some m. Here, although $\epsilon_i(v_*) = 0$, the curve $\epsilon(\omega)$ does not cross the axis, since $f_0'(v)$ does not change sign as v passes through v_* and the value of ϵ_r is unimportant. In essence

the multiple root of f'_0 at v_* prevents $\epsilon(\omega)$ from encircling the origin. Hence $\epsilon = 0$ does not admit solutions with $\text{Im}(\omega) > 0$. Thus it is possible for neutral modes to exist at such points of f_0 without losing spectral stability. Further, we see that the only possible neutral modes for a stable equilibrium are modes of this type.

If the system is spatially bounded, the first possibility (i.e. where v_{\star} corresponds to a minima or a maxima of f_0) is not necessarily excluded. Even if there exist exponentially growing solutions of $\epsilon(k,\omega) = 0$ for $k < k_{\star}$, it may happen that, the smallest value of k, $k_{\min} = k_{\star}$. That is, the neutral mode has the longest wavelength allowed by the system. Thus no modes with $k < k_{\star}$ exist and the neutral mode is not incompatible with linear stability. For such systems, as shown by Case, neutral modes with phase velocities corresponding to minima or maxima of the equilibrium distribution are possible. In what follows we will only consider unbounded systems.

III Free Energy of Neutral Modes

Free energy expressions can be obtained from either the Eulerian or Lagrangian variable descriptions. In the Eulerian case, the free energy comes from extremizing the Hamiltonian constrained by certain constants of motion known as Casimirs, which embody conservation of phase space volume. (Unconstrained stationary points of the Hamiltonian correspond to the "vacuum," i.e. where all particles have zero kinetic energy and the perturbed fields are also zero and thus generally uninteresting). This constraint is equivalent to demanding that the perturbation be dynamically accessible, since the Casimirs divide the phase space into constraint surfaces (symplectic leaves) which cannot be crossed by the phase space flow. Here $\delta^2 F$ is given by^{4,5}

$$\delta^2 F = \frac{1}{2} \int dx dv [H_0, g][g, f^0] + \frac{1}{8} \int dx \, \delta E^2[g] .$$

It has the physical interpretation as the entry increase due to such perturbations. This expression is valid for any perturbation arising from a generating function g, according to $\delta f = [f^0, g]$, where f^0 is the equilibrium distribution function and $[\ ,\]$ is the usual Poisson bracket. The argument of δE is shown explicitly in order to emphasize that δE is a (known) expression that depends on δf and thus g through Poisson's equation. Alternatively, the $\delta^2 F$ expression can be obtained in the Lagrangian description starting from the Low Lagrangian and restricting to canonical perturbations; i.e., those perturbation derived from a generating function.

Using the linearized Vlasov equation,

$$f_k \equiv ikg_k f^{\prime 0} = -iE_k \frac{q}{m} \frac{f^{\prime 0}}{\omega - kv} ,$$

the free energy for a single neutral mode with phase velocity v_p , corresponding to a stationary inflection point of the equilibrium distribution function, is given by

$$\delta^{2} F = \frac{1}{8\pi} |E_{k}|^{2} - \frac{m}{2} \int dv v |g_{k}|^{2} k^{2} f'^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\{ |E_{k}|^{2} - \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{k^{2}} |E_{k}|^{2} \int dv \frac{v f'_{0}}{(v - v_{p})^{2}} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi} |E_{k}|^{2} l \left\{ \epsilon(k, \omega) - v_{p} \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{k^{2}} \int dv \frac{v (v - v_{p})^{2}}{(v - v_{p})^{2}} \right\} .$$

Since $\epsilon(k,\omega)=0$,

$$\delta^2 F = -\frac{1}{8\pi} |E_k|^2 v_p \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \int dv \frac{f_0'}{(v_p - v)^2} .$$

For the distributions that we are considering, $f_0'(v_p) = 0$, thus the expression for ϵ can be safely differentiated with respect to ω , from which we find

$$\delta^2 F = \frac{1}{8\pi} |E_k|^2 \omega \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} .$$

It is important to remember that in the above, v_p is the phase velocity in the frame where the energy is a minimum, i.e. in the center of mass frame. Note that the integrals are frame

independent: in a frame moving with velocity V with respect to the original frame, the new velocity, \tilde{v} , is

$$\widetilde{v} = v - V$$
, and $\widetilde{f}_0(\widetilde{v}) = f_0(v - V)$

giving

$$\int dv \frac{f_0'(v)}{(v_p - v)^2} \longrightarrow \int d\widetilde{v} \frac{f_0'(v - V)}{(\widetilde{v}_p - \widetilde{v})^2} ,$$

where $\tilde{v}_p = v_p + V$ is the Doppler shifted phase velocity.¹¹ If the variable of integration is shifted, $\tilde{v} \to \tilde{v} - V$, we obtain the same expression as in the original frame. Thus the free energy depends on the frame only through the phase velocity, i.e. $\delta^2 F = -v_p \times \text{constant}$ and $\delta^2 \tilde{F} = \tilde{v}_p / v_p \delta^2 F$. The sign of $\delta^2 F$ is determined by

$$-v_p \int dv \frac{f_0'}{(v_p-v)^2}$$

and so depends on the precise shape of f_0 .

Consider an equilibrium distribution with a single maximum which supports a neutral mode with phase velocity v_p . In some frame

$$f_0' = -v(v - v_p)^2 P(v) ,$$

where $P(v) \ge 0$ for all v. While this may not be the center of mass frame, the existence of the mode is frame independent. Let P_n denote the n-th moment of P. Clearly

$$P_{2n} > 0$$
.

The wavenumber of the neutral mode is given by $\epsilon_r = 0$, namely

$$k^{2} = -\omega_{p}^{2} \int dv \frac{f_{0}'}{v - v_{p}}$$
$$= \omega_{p}^{2} \int dv (v - v_{p}) P(v)$$
$$= \omega_{p}^{2} (v_{p} P_{1} - P_{2}) .$$

For the mode to exist, $k^2 > 0$ which means $v_p P_1 > 0$ since $P_2 > 0$. In the chosen frame

$$\delta^2 F \propto v_p \int dv v P(v)$$
$$= v_p P_1 > 0.$$

The velocity of the center of mass of the plasma, v_{cm} , is given by

$$\begin{split} v_{\rm cm} &= \frac{1}{n_0} \int dv v f^0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int dv v^2 f_0' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} v_p^2 P_3 - v_p P_4 + \frac{1}{2} P_5 \ . \end{split}$$

The requirements that the distribution function be normalized and vanish at infinity constrains the first and ird moments of P:

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2v_p^3} \left(1 + 3v_p^3 P_2 - P_4 \right)$$

and

$$P_3 = \frac{1}{2v_p} \left(v_p^2 P_2 + P_4 - 1 \right) .$$

Using this in the expressions for k^2 and v_{cm} gives

$$k^2 = \frac{\omega_p^2}{2v_p^2} (1 + v_p^2 P_2 - P_4)$$

$$v_{\rm cm} = \frac{v_p}{4}(v_p^2 P_2 - 3P_4 - 1) + \frac{1}{2}P_5 .$$

Transforming to the center of mass frame, the phase velocity of the neutral mode becomes

$$\tilde{v}_p = \frac{v_p}{4}(3 + v_p^2 P_2 - 3P_4) + \frac{1}{2}P_5$$

and

$$\delta^2 \tilde{F} \propto \frac{v_p P_1}{4} \left(3 + v_p^2 P_2 - 3P_4 + 2 \frac{P_5}{v_p} \right) .$$

Since these moments of P are arbitrary, the free energy in the center of mass frame can be either positive, negative or zero depending on f_0 .

We now specialize to the case where equilibrium distribution is symmetric in some frame. In that frame (also the center of mass frame) f'_0 has the form

$$f_0' = -v(v^2 - v_p^2)^2 P(v)$$

where P(v) is a positive definite, symmetric function. Here

$$P_{2n} > 0$$
 and $P_{2n+1} = 0$,

giving

$$k^2 = \omega_p^2 (v_p^2 P_2 - P_4)$$

and

$$\delta^2 \, F \propto 2 v_p^2 P_2 > 0 \ .$$

For this class of distributions, all neutral modes have positive free energy.

We see that of the distributions that have a single maximum, those that are symmetric can only support neutral modes with positive free energy, while those that are nonsymmetric allow for neutral modes to have negative free energy. Further, for a symmetric distribution with more than one maximum, P_2 and P_4 are not necessarily positive (since P is no longer positive definite) thus admitting the possibility of the neutral mode having negative free energy.¹²

IV Discussion

Previous work on neutral modes, $Case^1$ ("Class 1c"), only considered modes that correspond to either minima or maxima of the equilibrium distribution. Such modes can only be supported by a linearly stable equilibrium if the spatial extent of the system is such that k_{\min} is

sufficiently large so that $\epsilon_r(\omega/k_{\min} = v_*) > 0$. Stationary inflection point modes are the only linear undamped modes that can be supported by a spatially unbounded, stable equilibrium. Furthermore, we have shown that, *only* for neutral modes is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} |E_k|^2 \omega \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega}$$

the correct expression for the free energy.¹² This energy expression is correct both for inflection points modes as well as the neutral modes of the type studied by Case. (The correct energy of perturbations about stable equilibria that do not support neutral modes is not the above but is given by an expression derived in Ref. 6.)

The nonlinear stability of neutral modes has previously been examined.¹³ Unfortunately, this analysis did not take into account that neutral modes of the type described here exist in linear theory and that the condition $k^2 > 0$ is necessary for their existence. The case of nonlinear undamped plasma waves has been recently explored both numerically¹⁴ and analytically¹⁵ for spatially nonhomogeneous equilibria. Inflection point neutral modes seem to be a likely candidate for the linear limit of these nonlinear undamped waves in the sense that a homogeneous equilibrium obtained by spatial averaging supports inflection point modes with the same phase velocity as the observed nonlinear oscillations.

In a model that includes trapped particles, BGK modes with phase velocities corresponding to the trapped particles become van Kampen modes in the limit that the trapped particle density goes to zero, provided that the equilibrium distribution function has a discontinuity. If f^0 is smooth then instead of a van Kampen mode, one obtains a quasimode, which is subject to Landau damping. If f^0 has a stationary inflection point then in this limit one obtains a neutral mode. The neutral eigenmode limit seems to be a natural counterpart to the van Kampen (singular) eigenmode for the case of continuous f^0 in that both are true eigenmodes and persist for all time.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy contract #DE-FG05-80ET-53088.

References

- ¹K.M. Case, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 7, 349 (1959); K.M. Case, Phys. Fluids 21, 249 (1978).
- ²M.D. Arthur, William Greenberg, and P.F. Zweifel, Phys. Fluids 20, 1296 (1977).
- ³C.E. Siewert, J. Math. Phys. 18, 2509 (1977).
- ⁴P.J. Morrison and M. Kotschenreuther, in Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics, Kiev, USSR, 1989 (World Scientific);
 M. Kotschenreuther, in Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1986 (IAEA, Vienna, 1978), Vol. 2, p. 149.
- ⁵P.J. Morrison and D. Pfirsch, Phys. Fluids B 2, 1105 (1990); P.J. Morrison, and D. Pfirsch, Phys. Rev. A 40, 3898 (1989).
- ⁶P.J. Morrison and D. Pfirsch, Phys. Fluids B 4, 3038 (1992).
- ⁷T.M. Cherry, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 23, 199 (1925).
- ⁸C.S. Kuney, Nonlinear Instability and Chaos in Plasma Wave-Wave Interactions, Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin, (1993). Available as IFS Report #588, The Institute for Fusion Studies, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712.
- ⁹N.A. Krall and A.W. Trivelpiece *Principles of Plasma Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973), Sec. 9.6, p. 464.
- ¹⁰O. Penrose, Phys. Fluids **3**, 258 (1960).
- ¹¹J. Dawson, Phys. Fluids 4, 869 (1961).
- ¹²B.A. Shadwick and P.J. Morrison, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **36**, 2329 (1991).

- ¹³A. Simon, M.N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Fluids **19**, 1567 (1976).
- ¹⁴L. Demeio and J.P. Holloway, J. Plasma Phys. **46**, 63 (1991).
- ¹⁵J.P. Holloway and J.J. Dorning, Phys. Rev. A 44, 3856 (1991).
- ¹⁶I.B. Bernstein, J.M. Greene, M.D. Kruskal, Phys. Rev. **108**, 546 (1957).
- ¹⁷H. Schamel, J. Plasma Phys. 13, 139 (1975); H. Schamel, Physica Scripta 20, 336 (1979).

	,		
			·
	-		