Exact Nonlinear Travelling Solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell System

Swadesh M. Mahajan Institute for Fusion Studies The University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712

February 1988

Exact Nonlinear Travelling Solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell System

Swadesh M. Mahajan

Institute for Fusion Studies, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712

Exact time dependent solutions of a system of charged particles with their selfconsistent electromagnetic fields are obtained by solving the fully nonlinear Vlasov-Maxwell set of equations.

Exact nonlinear solutions to coupled field theories are generally rare. For the Vlasov-Maxwell system, two kinds of time-independent solutions have been reported; 1) the electrostatic solutions of the Bernstein-Green-Kruskal (BGK) type, and 2) the magnetic solution of the type obtained by Pfirsch, Laval-Pellat and Vuillemin, and Marx. In this letter, we present a time-dependent fully electromagnetic solution to the Vlasov-Maxwell equations which describes a system of charged particles interacting with their self-consistent fields.

We consider an electron-ion plasma embedded in a constant external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} = \hat{e}_z B_0$. Assuming the field quantities to vary only in the x-direction $(\partial/\partial y = 0 = \partial/\partial z)$, the relevant equations describing the system can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial t} + v_x \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial x} - \frac{e}{m_e} \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times (\mathbf{B} + \hat{e}_z B_0) \right] \cdot \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$$
 (1a)

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + v_x \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x} + \frac{e}{m_i} \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times (\mathbf{B} + \hat{e}_z B_0) \right] \cdot \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$$
 (1b)

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} = 4\pi\rho = -4\pi e \int d\mathbf{v} (f_e - f_i) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\hat{e}_x \times \mathbf{E}) + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\hat{e}_z \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{J} = -\frac{4\pi e}{c}\int d\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{v}(f_e - f_i)$$
 (5)

where Eqs. (1a) and (1b) are the Vlasov equations for the evolution of the electron and ion distribution functions f_e and f_i in the presence of the external field B_0 and the self-consistently generated fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} which obey Maxwell's equations (2-5). In Eqs. (1-5), -e is the charge of the electron, m_e and m_i are the electron and ion masses, and ρ and \mathbf{J} are the plasma charge and current densities.

The search for the solution begins by proposing displaced Maxwellian distributions for the particles

$$f_{e,i} = \frac{n_0}{\pi^{3/2} v_{e,i}^3} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{e,i})^2}{v_{e,i}^2}\right] g_{e,i}(x - Ut)$$
 (6)

where n_0 is a measure of the ambient density, $v_{e,i}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{e,i}$ are respectively the thermal speeds and the drift velocities, and the entire space-time dependence is contained in the factor $g_{e,i}$ which depends only on the variables $\eta = x - Ut$. Making use of Eq. (6), one obtains (explicit arguments will be dropped) the expression for the charge and current densities,

$$\rho = -en_0(g_e - g_i) , \qquad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = -en_0(\mathbf{u}_e g_e - \mathbf{u}_i g_1) , \qquad (8)$$

which implies that the choice

$$g_e = g_i = g \quad , \quad E_x = 0 = B_x \tag{9}$$

trivially satisfies Eqs. (2) and (3). Using Eqs. (6-9) and remembering that $\partial/\partial t = -Ud/d\eta$, $\partial/\partial x = d/d\eta$, the remaining nontrivial components of Maxwell's equations take the form

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} \left[E_y - \frac{U}{c} B_z \right] = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} \left[E_z - \frac{U}{c} B_y \right] = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{dB_z}{d\eta} = +\frac{4\pi e}{c} n_0 g \left(u_y^e - u_y^i \right) + \frac{U}{c} \frac{dE_y}{d\eta} \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{dB_y}{d\eta} = -\frac{4\pi e}{c} n_0 g \left(u_z^e - u_z^i \right) + \frac{U}{c} \frac{dE_z}{d\eta} . \tag{13}$$

Equations (10) and (11) immediately yield

$$E_{y} = \frac{U}{c}B_{z} + E_{0} \equiv \frac{U}{c}[B_{z} + B_{0}]$$
 (14)

and

$$E_z = -\frac{U}{c}B_y \tag{15}$$

which guides us to the interpretation that U must be a drift in the x-direction, and in the moving frame, the effective electric field remains zero. Making use of Eqs. (6), (14) and (15),

$$\mathbf{u}_{e,i} = \hat{e}_z u_z^{e,i} + \hat{e}_y \ u_y^{e,i} + \hat{e}_x U \tag{16}$$

and some straightforward algebra, the Vlasov equations reduce to $[T_{e,i}=0.5\,m_{e,i}v_{e,i}^2]$ is the temperature

$$\frac{1}{q_e} \frac{dg_e}{d\eta} = \frac{e}{cT_e} \left[B_y u_z^e - (B_z + B_0) u_y^e \right]$$
 (17)

$$\frac{1}{q_i} \frac{dg_i}{d\eta} = \frac{e}{cT_i} \left[iB_y u_z^i + (B_z + B_0) u_y^i \right]$$
 (18)

which can satisfy the constraint $g_e = g_i = g$ only if

$$\frac{u_z^e}{T_e} = -\frac{u_z^i}{T_i} \quad , \quad \frac{u_y^e}{T_e} = -\frac{u_y^i}{T_i} \tag{19}$$

leading to the single Vlasov Equation $\left[\overline{B}_{y(z)} = (eu_{z(y)}^e/cT_e)B_{y(z)}\right]$

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{dg}{d\eta} = \overline{B}_y - \overline{B}_z \tag{20}$$

to be solved in conjunction with

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\overline{B}_z = +\frac{2}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{u_y^e}{u^e}\right)^2 g \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\overline{B}_y = -\frac{2}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{u_z^e}{u^e}\right)^2 g \tag{22}$$

which are Eqs. (12) and (13) rewritten in the context of Eqs. (14), (15) and (19) where

$$\delta^2 = \frac{c^2}{\omega_e^2} \frac{v_e^2}{u^{e^2} (1+\tau)} (1 - U^2/c^2)$$
 (23)

with $\omega_e^2 = (4\pi n_0 e^2/m_e)$, $u^{e^2} = u_z^{e^2} + u_y^{e^2}$ and $\tau = T_i/T_e$ as the ion-electron temperature ratio. The set of Eqs. (20-22) allows the following self-consistent solutions

$$g = \operatorname{sech}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \operatorname{Ut}}{\delta} \,, \tag{24}$$

$$B_y = -\frac{u_z^e}{u^e} \left(\frac{cT_e}{eu_e} \frac{2}{\delta} \right) \tanh \frac{x - Ut}{\delta} , \qquad (25)$$

$$B_z = -B_0 + \frac{u_y^e}{u^e} \left(\frac{cT_e}{eu_e} \frac{2}{\delta} \right) \tanh \frac{x - Ut}{\delta} , \qquad (26)$$

$$E_y = \frac{U}{c} (B_z + B_0) , (27)$$

and

$$E_z = -\frac{U}{c}B_y , \qquad (28)$$

which are travelling pulses of width δ . The width of the pulse (or the shock front if δ is very small) is a strong function of the plasma properties (density, temperature and the drift speeds) and can vary over a large range. For $B_0 = 0$, the electromagnetic fields are purely transverse ($E \cdot B = 0$) and become more and more light-like as $U \to c$. However, in this relativistic limit, we must use the relativistic correct form for the Vlasov equation (p is the momentum),

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)}{\partial t} + v \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + q \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v} \times B}{c} \right] \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = 0$$
 (29)

with the velocity-momentum relation

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\gamma m} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \left[1 + \frac{p^2}{m^2 c^2} \right]^{-1/2}$$
 (30)

which introduces some complication in the calculation of the plasma current. This difficulty can be readily overcome by assuming that the flow speed U can be relativistic while the

temperature of the plasma remains nonrelativistic. In that case γ can be simply replaced by $\gamma_0 = (1 - \frac{U^2}{c^2})^{-1/2} = (1 + p_U^2/m^2c^2)^{1/2}$ (where $p_U = \gamma_0 mU$) and the entire analysis remains valid provided the plasma frequency ω_e is replaced by the relativistic form $\omega_{er} = (4\pi n_0 e^2/\gamma_0 m)^{1/2}$. It is also convenient to rewrite Eq. (23) as

$$\delta^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2} \frac{c^2}{\omega_{ex}^2} \frac{v_e^2}{u_e^2 (1+\tau)} \ . \tag{31}$$

In this paper, we have presented exact travelling pulse-like solutions of the fully nonlinear Vlasov-Maxwell system. Expressions for the self-consistent fields and the particle distribution function are explicitly displayed in Eqs. (24-28). Those solutions should find widespread applications in understanding the phenomena taking place in the laboratory as well as in the astrophysical plasmas; they should also serve as reference solutions for nonlinear numerical calculations.

Discussions with H.L. Berk, R.D. Hazeltine, N. Rostoker, and T. Tajima are gratefully acknowledged. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy contract #DE-FG05-80ET-53088.

- ¹ I.B. Bernstein, J.M. Greene, and M.D. Kruskal, Phys. Rev. 108, 546 (1957).
- ² D. Pfirsch, Z. Naturforschung **17a**, 861 (1962).
- ³ G. Laval, R. Pellat, and M. Vuillemin in *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Research* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1966), Vol. 2, p. 259.
- ⁴ K.D. Marx, Phys. Fluids **11**, 357 (1968).