Analysis of the October 3–7 2000 GEM storm with the WINDMI model
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[1] The 8 dimensional physics model WINDMI is used to analyze the October 3–7, 2000 geomagnetic storm using solar wind input data from the ACE satellite. This period was chosen because it contains an extended interval of well-defined and quasi-periodic auroral activations called sawtooth oscillations, a phenomena whose relationship to substorm processes and to upstream solar wind drivers is still under debate. The question of whether multiple sawtooth oscillations are triggered by periodic upstream solar wind features or by internal magnetospheric processes is addressed. The model predicts both the occurrence of 8 auroral activations identified as sawtooth events during the 24 hour period on the 4th of October, in agreement with the measured AL index, and also an earlier multiple sawtooth interval on the 3rd of October, in agreement with the measured AL index. These intervals occur during steady but moderate solar wind IMF Bz values and the periodicity of the sawtooth events was not directly related to any periodic features in the upstream solar wind. The model also predicts the geomagnetic Dst index through the main and recovery phase of the storm.


1. Introduction

[2] It is becoming increasingly evident that the solar wind (WIND) driven magnetosphere-ionosphere (MI) is a complex dynamical system. The challenge associated with modeling and prediction of the state of this system has been described as “the space weather problem” by researchers. The magnetosphere formed by the solar wind plasma interacting with the almost dipolar magnetic field of the earth contains numerous energy reservoirs and transitional layers that separate the nightside geomagnetic lobes, central plasma sheet, and inner-magnetospheric ring current. The solar wind electric field drives substantial power through these components, a large fraction of which is dissipated in the ionosphere and ring current through charge exchange collisions with neutral atoms.
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auroral (AL) geomagnetic index used to define substorms. This current has an associated magnetic energy \( L_{L_1 I_1^2} \) where \( L_1 \) is the self-inductance of the loop. The loop also contains magnetic flux \( \Phi_{M} \) through a mutual inductance \( M \) with the larger (\( \sim 20 \) times) geotail cross-field current loop \( I(t) \). Both current loops have associated voltages \( V_1 V_2 \) driven by the solar wind dynamo voltage \( V_{SW}(t) \). The resultant electric fields give rise to \( E \times B \) perpendicular plasma flows whose energies are stored in the capacitances \( C \) and \( C_T \). The high pressure plasma trapped by the reversed lobe magnetic fields gives the thermal energy component \( U_p = \frac{1}{2} \rho \Omega_{cgs} \), where \( \Omega_{cgs} = L_x L_y L_z \) is the volume of the central plasma sheet. The parallel kinetic energy \( K_{\parallel} \) is due to plasma flow along magnetic field lines.

2. Storm Data

[6] In Figure 1 we show the ACE satellite solar wind and IMF data for October 3–7 2000. An extended magnetic cloud began at 10:18 UT on October 3 and continued until 05:34 UT on October 5 [Wang et al., 2003]. A high speed solar wind stream following the magnetic cloud compressed and enhanced the southward IMF in the trailing edge of the cloud, greatly increasing its geoeffectiveness and leading to a major magnetic storm that lasted from October 3–7 but only reached its most disturbed levels shortly after the trailing edge of the magnetic cloud passed the Earth. The solar wind data correlates with measurements of the westward auroral AL index and the \( D_{st} \) index on the same dates. The AL index and \( D_{st} \) index (shown in Figures 2 and 3) are from the World Data Center at Kyoto University.

[7] Of interest in the present storm, two separate intervals of periodic substorm activity occur: one from about 08:00–16:00 UT on October 3 and the other from about 06:00–22:00 UT on October 4. The activity in the later interval has been identified as sawtooth oscillations by Huang et al. [2003]. The earlier interval has comparable characteristics but was not discussed in that reference. Sawtooth events have many similarities to large periodic substorms but extend over a broader than usual range of local times and penetrate anomalously close to Earth for long intervals [Henderson, 2004]. They are driven during storms by moderate \( (B_z < -10 \text{mT}) \) but slowly varying southward IMF. There is debate whether these are periodic substorms or a different form of geomagnetic activity. There is also debate whether this activity is triggered by features in the solar wind or results from internal magnetospheric processes. WINDMI can contribute to this debate by analyzing its ability to predict both the timing within the storm interval and periodicity of the sawtooth events.

[8] In Figure 2 we show the energetic electron and proton flux data as measured by the LANL satellite 1989–046 to highlight the substorm injection events that occur on October 4 2000. We observe that the AL index has a sawtooth auroral waveform for every injection event measured by the LANL satellite.

3. WINDMI Analysis

3.1. Model Description

[9] The dynamo voltage \( V_{SW} \) is calculated in two ways. The first is to use the rectified voltage \( V_{SW} = V_{SW}B_z^0 L_{eff} \) where \( V_{SW} \) is the x-directed component of the solar wind velocity in GSM coordinates, \( B_z^0 \) is the southward IMF component and \( L_{eff} \) is an effective cross-tail width over which the dynamo voltage is produced. The second method uses a formula given by Siscoe et al. [2002] and Ober et al. [2003] for the coupling of the solar wind to the magnetosphere using solar wind dynamic pressure \( P_{SW} \) to determine the stand-off distance. This formula is given by,

\[
V_{SW}(kV) = 30.0(kV) + 57.6E_{SW}(mV/m)P_{SW}^{-1/6}(nPa)
\]

where \( E_{SW} = v_{SW}(B_y^2 + B_z^2)^{1/2} \sin(\theta) \) is the solar wind electric field and \( P_{SW} = n_{SW}m_pV_{SW}^2 \). Here \( m_p \) is the mass of a proton. The IMF clock angle \( \theta \) is taken to be \( \tan^{-1}(B_y/B_z) \). The solar wind velocity \( v_{SW} \) is assumed to be \( v_x \) while \( n_{SW} \) is the solar wind proton density. Additionally, \( V_{SW} \) is delayed to account for solar wind propagation from the ACE satellite to the nose of the bow shock using the formula in Bargatze et al. [1985].

Figure 1. ACE satellite measurement of the solar wind velocity \( v_x \), proton density \( n_p \), IMF \( B_z \) and \( B_y \) components for 3–7 October 2000. The satellite was located at approximately \( X = 224 \), \( Y = -29 \), \( Z = -5 \) Earth radii in GSM coordinates during this period. See color version of this figure in the HTML.

Figure 2. October 4 2000 energetic proton and electron flux injection measurements from the LANL 1989–046 spectrometers, lined up with the measured geomagnetic AL index showing the 8 substorm peaks correlated to the injection events. See color version of this figure in the HTML.
The state vector $X = (I, V, p, K_p, I_1, V_p, I_2, W_{rc})$ in the WINDMI model is given by the equations:

$$L \frac{dI}{dt} = V_{sw}(t) - V + M \frac{dI}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$C \frac{dV}{dt} = I - I_1 - I_{ps} - \Sigma V$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

$$3 \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{\Sigma V^2}{\Omega_{ps}} - u_0 p K_p^{1/2} \Theta(u) - \frac{p V A_{eff}}{\Omega_{ps} B_0 L_y} - \frac{3p}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$\frac{dK_1}{dt} = I_{ps} V - \frac{K_1}{\tau_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

$$L_1 \frac{dI_1}{dt} = V - V_1 + M \frac{dI}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

$$C_I \frac{dV_I}{dt} = I_1 - I_2 - \Sigma I V_I$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$L_2 \frac{dI_2}{dt} = V_1 - (R_{pec} + R_{A2}) I_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

$$\frac{dW_{rc}}{dt} = R_{pec} I_2^2 + \frac{p V A_{eff}}{B_0 L_y} - \frac{W_{rc}}{\tau_{rc}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

The quantities $L$, $C$, $\Sigma$, $L_1$, $C_I$ and $\Sigma_I$ are the magnetospheric and ionospheric inductances, capacitances, and conductances respectively. $A_{eff}$ is an effective aperture for particle injection into the ring current. The resistances in the partial ring current and region-2 current $I_2$ regions are $R_{pec}$ and $R_{A2}$ respectively, and $L_2$ is the inductance of the region-2 current. $u_0$ is a heat flux limiting parameter. The confinement times for the central plasma sheet, parallel kinetic energy and ring current are $\tau_{fe}$, $\tau_p$ and $\tau_{rc}$. The effective width of the magnetosphere is $L_x$ and the transition region magnetic field is given by $B_0$. The pressure gradient driven current is given by $I_{ps} = L_x (p/\mu_0)^{1/2}$ where $L_x$ is the effective length of the magnetotail. The pressure unloading function $\Theta(u) = \frac{u}{2} (1 + \tanh u)$ where $u = (I - I_c)/\Delta I$ in Equation (4) is specified by a critical current $I_c$ and the interval $\Delta I$ for the transition to loss of plasma along newly opened magnetic field lines with a parallel thermal flux $q_{||}$. The unloading function follows from current gradient driven tearing modes or cross-field current instabilities, as described by Yoon et al. [2002]. The parameters are combined into a vector $P$ where $d = 18$.

### 3.2. Results

[11] Using nominal parameters from physics estimates and the rectified input voltage, the predicted AL has average relative variance $ARV = 0.78$ when compared to the AL index. Changing to the Siscoe solar wind voltage improves the comparison slightly, to $ARV = 0.74$. The average relative variance obtained when the parameters were tuned manually improved considerably to $ARV = 0.63$ while still using the Siscoe input. The ARV improves to 0.58 when we used a genetic algorithm (GA) to find the optimal parameter vector $P$ with respect to the AL data, but the GA solution does not display the periodic substorms. Here we manually selected a parameter set to obtain a solution that has low ARV with respect to the AL index and captures the eight substorms that occur in the main phase of the storm. The parameters that strongly influence the oscillations are the capacitance $C$ of the central plasma sheet, the level of the critical current $I_c$ and the parallel heat flux limit parameter $u_0$ (see auxiliary material\textsuperscript{1}).

[12] Figure 3 compares the predicted $D_{st}$ and measured $D_{st}$ for the nominal parameter vector. The agreement in the shape of the waveform is good. For both the AL and $D_{st}$ signals the network system does not capture the high frequency components. This deficiency is serious in the two very sharp peaks of large AL data at 07:00 UT and 12:00 UT shown in Figure 3 on October 5 at the end of the

---

\textsuperscript{1}Auxiliary material is available at ftp://ftp.agu.org/apend/gl/2005GL023515.
main phase of the storm. The model gives a peak AL of about 1200 nT for both peaks at roughly the correct time but the AL index has narrower peaks going up to 1500 nT at 07:00 UT and to 3000 nT at 12:00 UT on 5 October.

[13] On Oct 4 2000 eight peaks of AL data ranging from 600 nT to 1300 nT appear on average every 2 hours. These are the sawtooth oscillations that are seen as particle injections by the LANL geosynchronous spacecraft. The correspondence between the AL and LANL satellite data during these injection events is shown in Figure 2. That the model captures these events, as shown in Figure 4, is important since the injections produce the 300 keV seed electrons in the inner magnetosphere, some fraction of which are eventually accelerated to become the multi-MeV (killer) electrons of Summers et al. [1998] and Baker et al. [1998].

[14] While the framework for explanation of the quasi periodic episodes of substorm injections is the same as given by Huang and Reeves [2005], the present model differs in detail from their qualitative picture. The WINDMI model requires sufficient energy build-up in the central plasma sheet during the main phase of the storm in order to trigger a series of unloading events. The intrinsic global Alfvén eigenmodes of the geotail with periods as long as one to two hours, appealed to by Huang and Reeves [2005], are contained in the WINDMI model but are not sufficient to predict the substorms. This null conclusion has been tested through variations of the model without the unloading physics and appears to be provable by a detailed stability analysis of strongly driven steady states in the absence of an unloading mechanism.

4. Conclusions

[15] A plasma physics based network model called WINDMI is used to calculate eight energy components in the solar wind driven magnetosphere-ionosphere-ring current system. The parameters of the system are estimated a priori within physically realizable ranges. The 3 – 7 October 2000 storm is then examined in detail, using results obtained with manually tuned parameters. Key features and conclusions are that:

[16] 1. The internal trigger for unloading plasma pressure allows the model to show the substorm and sawtooth oscillations, with the timing and relative amplitudes in rough agreement with the AL index and the LANL energetic particle data. External solar wind triggers are not required.

[17] 2. During two intervals within the magnetic cloud when the IMF is $< -10 nT$ and slowly varying, WINDMI predicts periodic substorm activity in which the timing and duration closely reproduces the AL signature. This is in agreement with the observations that moderate but steady solar wind driving produces sawtooth events [Henderson, 2004].

[18] 3. Eight substorms occur on October 4 with associated sawtooth injections of 50–750 keV and protons (75–670 keV) recorded by the LANL geosynchronous spacecraft. The model qualitatively captures these eight injection events, thus it may be coupled to dipolarization pulse models [Li et al., 1998; Baker et al., 1998] to yield estimates for the electron flux trapped in the inner magnetosphere. These electron fluxes are the seed populations for the MeV-energy electron radiation belts formed approximately one day after the storm recovery.

[19] 4. The system satisfies charge and energy conservation, and thus may have an advantage over ARMA and neural networks when the database is too small to train data-driven prediction filters.
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